Case No.: 3:18-CV-04865-EMC

Case 3:18-cv-04865-EMC Document 178 Filed 01/04/19 Page 1 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

> 19 20 21

23 24

22

25 26

28

27

WHEREAS, on August 14, 2018, the Court issued an Initial Case Management Scheduling Order;

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2018, the Court vacated the Initial Case Management Conference and associated deadlines in light of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-67, 109 Stat. 737 (1995) (the "Reform Act"), which sets forth specialized procedures for the administration of securities class actions, including appointment of lead plaintiff and consolidation (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4 (a)(3)(B)(ii));

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2018, the Court set a status conference for January 17, 2019 at 10:30 a.m.;

WHEREAS, on November 27, 2018, the Court issued an order (1) consolidating this case and eight additional proposed class actions, each alleging violations of the federal securities laws against defendants Tesla, Inc. and Elon Musk (collectively, "defendants"); (2) granting movant Glen Littleton's ("Lead Plaintiff") motion for appointment as Lead Plaintiff and approving Littleton's selection of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP as Lead Counsel; and (3) ordering Lead Plaintiff to file a consolidated amended complaint within 45 days (i.e., by January 11, 2019);

WHEREAS, on December 13, 2018, in light of pending motions for reconsideration regarding the appointment of Lead Plaintiff, the Court stayed its order regarding the timing of filing the consolidated amended complaint;

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2018, the Court denied the motions for reconsideration and ordered Lead Plaintiff to file the consolidated amended complaint within 30 days (i.e., by January 16, 2019);

WHEREAS, defendants anticipate filing motion(s) to dismiss in response to Lead Plaintiff's consolidated amended complaint;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Reform Act, all discovery and other proceedings shall be stayed during the pendency of any motion to dismiss, unless the court finds upon the motion of any party that particularized discovery is necessary to preserve evidence or to prevent undue prejudice to that party (15 U.S.C. 78u-4(b)(3)(B));

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 3, 2019 Hon. Edward M. Chen United States District Court Judge FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO